## APPEAL NO. 010841 FILED JUNE 6, 2001

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing (CCH) was held on April 4, 2001. The hearing officer held that the appellant (claimant) had disability but only for the period from April 17 through 25, 2000, and not thereafter (to the date of the CCH).

The claimant has appealed and argues that she has been unable to work at her usual job since the date of her injury. She points out that she can work her second job only because it is within her restrictions. The respondent (carrier) responds that the decision is supported by the record, and that the hearing officer evidently did not consider the claimant's testimony credible.

## **DECISION**

We affirm the hearing officer's decision.

The hearing officer did not err in finding that the claimant's period of disability from her accident was for a limited period of time. He could consider that she had been released back to work originally on \_\_\_\_\_, eight days after her injury, and that she continued throughout to work her second job. Although objective medical tests are not required to support a finding of disability, the hearing officer was not bound by the treating doctor's opinion. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the relevance, materiality, weight, and credibility of the evidence presented at the hearing. Section 410.165(a). The decision should not be set aside because different inferences and conclusions may be drawn upon review, even when the record (as in this case) contains evidence that would lend itself to different inferences. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). A trier of fact is not required to accept a claimant's testimony at face value, even if not specifically contradicted by other evidence. Bullard v. Universal Underwriters Insurance Company, 609 S.W.2d 621 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1980, no writ). The trier of fact may believe all, part, or none of the testimony of any witness. Taylor v. Lewis, 553 S.W.2d 153, 161 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1977, writ ref'd n.r.e.).

It was for the hearing officer, as trier of fact, to resolve the inconsistencies and conflicts in the evidence. <u>Garza</u>, <u>Supra</u>. This is equally true of medical evidence. <u>Texas Employers Insurance Association v. Campos</u>, 666 S.W.2d 286, 290 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). An appeals-level body is not a fact finder, and does not normally pass upon the credibility of witnesses or substitute its own judgment for that of the trier of fact, even if the evidence would support a different result. <u>National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh</u>, <u>Pennsylvania v. Soto</u>, 819 S.W.2d 619, 620 (Tex. App.-El Paso 1991, writ denied); <u>American Motorists Insurance Co. v. Volentine</u>, 867 S.W.2d 170 (Tex. App.-Beaumont 1993, no writ). The decision of the hearing officer will be set aside only if the evidence supporting the hearing officer's

determination is so weak or against the overwhelming weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. <u>Atlantic Mutual Insurance Company v. Middleman,</u> 661 S.W.2d 182 (Tex. App.-San Antonio 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.). We cannot agree that this was the case here, and affirm the decision and order.

	Susan M. Kelley Appeals Judge	
CONCUR:		
Judy L. S. Barnes Appeals Judge		
Michael B. McShane Appeals Judge		